Redesigning High Schools: Trends, Policies, and Programs

Terry Spradlin Associate Director for Education Policy Center for Evaluation and Education Policy

Redesigning High Schools: Trends, Policies, and Programs

2007 Indiana Family Impact Seminar

November 19, 2007

Terry Spradlin, Associate Director for Education Policy





policy research services to policymakers, governmental entities, and non-profit organizations.

 CEEP is continually looking for new opportunities to help inform, influence, and shape the development of P-16 education policy not only in Indiana, but across the nation.




2

CEEP Associates focus their broad spectrum of experience and capabilities to produce high impact within five "Areas of Excellence":

- Educational Evaluation
- Math, Science, and Technology Evaluation
- Literacy Evaluation

œ

- Education Policy Research and Technical Assistance
- Health, Human Services, and Community Development Evaluation



2) Dropout and graduation rates

Presentation Outline

About the Center for

Evaluation & Education Policy

School of Education at Indiana University.


 The Center for Evaluation & Education Policy (CEEP) is a client-focused, self-funded research center associated with the

CEEP provides a wide range of evaluation and nonpartisan

- 3) Suspension and expulsion data
- 4) Minority disproportionality in special education
- 5) College remediation trends
- · Policy considerations and recommendations



4



Why is Reform Necessary?

- A number of academic indicators suggest that high school reform is necessary and urgent:
 - Significant achievement gaps persist
 - High school dropout and graduation rates
 - Suspension and expulsion rates
 Minority disproportionality in special education
 - Minority disproportionality in special education
 College remediation rates
- A lack of high school student engagement as noted by HSSSE (2005) also contributes to the need for reform
 - 50% of students spend four hours or less each week preparing for class
 Less than half of the students (47%) indicated that their school places quite a bit or very much emphasis on providing helpful comments on their performance

6

Œ₽

Why is Reform Necessary? (cont.) 1) The Achievement Gap in • More importantly, the need for high school reform is being driven by changes in the Indiana workforce and the globalization of the economy - not by a decline in student CEEP Report: achievement outcomes in high school. "Is the Achievement Gap in Indiana Narrowing?" Issued September 19, 2005 · Expectations are high for all students, not http://ceep.indiana.edu/projects/PDF/Achievement_Gap_091405.pdf just some. œ 7 8 Indiana Achievement Gap Study The Good News Overview Aggregate results show progress over time for Indiana's · Not only timely, but most complete picture of public education system in a variety of important areas, including: Indiana's achievement gap since a state review in 2003. - Core 40 and Academic Honors Diploma completion · Report examined multiple performance measures over - SAT and ACT scores - Participation in and achievement on AP tests time by race/ethnicity, income, English proficiency, and special needs categories. - ISTEP+ scores up slightly. · Hoosiers' participation in higher education is also steadily · Primary sources of data: IDOE, College Board, increasing over time. NCES.


9

œ

5

10

œ



Nationally • ISTEP+ results over time for Grades 3, 6, 8, and 10 • By the end of Grade 8, low income demonstrate modest improvements for most students and minority students lag subgroups, yet the achievement gaps have narrowed behind their peers by three grade levels, only slightly, if at all, and remain quite large. and by the end of Grade 12 they lag • When examining the percentage of students passing behind by four grade levels. both the mathematics and English/language arts sections of ISTEP+, the achievement gaps in the 2006-07 school year widen from the elementary to the secondary grade levels. œ 13 œ 13 14


14


63%


33%


25%

06-07









Conclusions of Achievement Gap Report

- The achievement gap is a not only a school and classroom issue, but a societal issue that must be addressed by a broad array of stakeholders that extends beyond educators, including the governor, policymakers, business and industry, labor, clergy, and parents.
- 2) Parents and the larger community must increase the value they place on elementary and secondary education and become more engaged in supporting student learning. A citizenry that values and promotes academic achievement is essential to reducing the achievement gaps.

23

25

œ


Additional Conclusions (cont'd)

3) State and local leaders must acknowledge and address the impact that issues such as the high rates of mobility, increasing levels of poverty, poor nutrition, and restricted access to quality healthcare have on student achievement. Effective economic development, fiscal management, and public health policies will contribute to a reduction of the K-12 academic achievement gaps.

24

24

Recommendations Recommendations (cont'd) Examine middle school issues, particularly suspension and expulsion trends, and conduct an assessment of 1) Emphasize the role of state leadership. 6) 2) Fulfill the recommendations of the P-16 student engagement. Plan. Continue the push to redesign high schools. 7) 3) Promote early childhood education. 8) Revisit school improvement plan process. 4) Support full-day kindergarten for all atrisk children. 9) Emphasize teacher quality. 5) Expand effective reading programs to all elementary classes. 10) Raise academic expectations. œ 25 œ 26 26



Year	Percent of IN Teens who are HS Dropouts*	National Rank
2000	13%	40
2001	14%	45
2002	13%	47
2003	11%	45
2004	13%	50
2005	9%	36
())	Source: Kids Count! Annie E. Casey F	Foundation 29

29

High School Dropout Rates (cont'd)


According to results of the 2005	
Public Opinion Survey on	90%1
Education in Indiana conducted	80%
by CEEP, 89% of Hoosiers	70%
indicated that the HS drop-out	60%
rate is a significant issue	50%
	40%
92% of respondents between	30%
the ages of 18-34 strongly agreed or agreed	20%


High School Drop-out Rate a


30

92% of non-white respondents also strongly agreed or agreed 92% of non-white respondents also strongly agreed or agreed










- $-\,$ Greene (2001) calculated Indiana's graduation rate at 74%, ranking it 26th in the nation
- An *Education Week* (2006) report calculated Indiana's graduation rate at 73%, ranking it 23rd in the country




40


39


39

œ









Why Does Disproportionality Occur? • Not simply due to poverty

- Poverty correlates, but race predicts independently
- Disproportionality as *multi-determined*
 - Contributions of special education process
 - Contributions of general education
 - Behavioral issues
 - Resource insufficiency

49

œ

Disproportionality in Placements

- African American students with a disability are **35% less likely** than their peers to have a **regular class placement**
- African American students with a disability are **2.84 times more likely** than their peers to have a **separate class placement**

48 **(EP** 48

5. College Remediation Nationally

- In 2000, 28% of college freshmen registered for at least one remedial education course
 - Most often in the areas of mathematics and writing
- The length of time students spend taking remedial courses increased:

CEP

50

From 33% taking one year or more in 1995 to 40% in 2000

50

College Remediation in Indiana
The number of IN students attending college has increased:

From 289,211 in 2000-01 to 366,342 in 2005-06

The number of Hoosier students enrolling in remedial mathematics and language arts courses increased:

From 55,675 in 2000-01 to 71,928 in 2003-04


• The need for mathematics remediation among college freshmen has increased the most in recent years:

- From 15.5% of freshmen in 00-01 to 20.2% of freshmen in 03-04



Recently Enacted Education Laws and Legislation in Indiana Beginning with the 2010-2011 school year, the state requires, with certain exceptions, that students complete the Core 40 curriculum in order to graduate from high school. PL 105-2005 Core 40 mandate scnool.
Beginning with the 2011-2012 academic year, requires, with certain exceptions, that students must have completed the Core 40 curriculum in order to be admitted to a four-year degree program in a state educational institution. PL 218-2005 Dual Credit Requires a school corporation and a post-secondary institution to enter into a contract concerning credits for students attending the post-secondary institution while they are also attending secondary school. Agreements · Permits public school students who are at least 16 years of age and less than 18 years of PL 242-2005 Permits public school students who are at least 16 years of age and less than 18 years of
age to withdraw from school by: (1) attending an exit interview; (2) obtaining the consent
of the student's parent; and (3) obtaining the consent of the school principal. Requires that
the school principal provide students and parents with information concerning the
consequences of dropping out of school during the exit interview, and to provide the
Department of Education with the number of students who withdraw from school.
 Includes certain additional groups of students in the determination of a school's graduation
rate. Establishes certain procedures concerning a student who has left school and whose
location is unknown to the school. Dropout Age & Consequences Incluion is unknown to the school.
 Allows Ivy Tech Community College of Indiana and Vincennes University to offer fast track to college programs in which a qualified student may earn a high school diploma while also earning credits for a certificate program, an associate's or a baccalaureate degree.
 Allows other state educational institutions to establish a fast track to college program. Requires a school corporation to pay the tuition for high school diploma courses taken by certain students who are less than 19 years of age.
 Establishes the Double Up for College dual high school-college credit program. Requires high schools to ffer at least two dual credit and advanced placement courses each year to high school students who qualify to enroll in the courses. PL 185-2006 Dropout prevention, Fast-Track Program, Double-up for College Program 52

49



Recommendations to Enrich High School Curriculum

- 1. Increase high school student participation in rigorous coursework.
- 2. Increase access to AP and dual credit courses for minority groups and students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds.
- 3. Increase AP research.

œ

55

4. Increase the level of IB participation in high school across the nation.

Recommendations (cont.)

- 5. Promote expansion of dual credit programs in every state.
- 6. Consider other programs and funding strategies.
- 7. Undertake additional research and evaluation on all dual credit programs.
- 8. Revisit the role of the Tech Prep curriculum in preparing students for the workplace or postsecondary education.

56

œ



1-800-511-6575 Fax: 812-856-5890 tspradli@indiana.edu http://ceep.indiana.edu

57

œ